



TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

19

Application Number	09/588,407
Filing Date	June 6, 2000
First Named Inventor	Richard Blackmore
Art Unit	1733
Examiner Name	STEVEN MAKI
Attorney Docket Number	240-P-028

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement

<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/
Incomplete Application
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers

<input type="checkbox"/> Petition
<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a
Provisional Application
<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation
Change of Correspondence Address
<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer
<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund
<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD | <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC

<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and Interferences

<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)

<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information

<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify
below): |
|---|--|---|

Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	David McEwing		
Signature			
Printed name	David McEwing		
Date	December 02, 2004	Reg. No.	37,026

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name		Date	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



12-6-04

1733
RHW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
PATENT EXAMINING OPERATION

Applicant:	§	
Richard Blackmore et al.	§	Group Art Unit: 1733
	§	
Serial No. 09/588,407	§	Examiner: Steven P. Maki
	§	
Filed: June 6, 2000	§	Atty. Dkt. No.: 240-P-028
	§	
Confirmation No. 9445	§	

For: Inflatable Heating Device

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Alexandria, Virginia

SIR:

This paper is presented as a further supplementation of the Applicant's response to the Office Action mailed October 9, 2003. The Applicant provided a supplemental response by amendment filed August 6, 2004. This response, albeit not conforming as per the comments of the Examiner, responded to substantive issues discussed during the June 4, 2004 interview. Particularly, the Applicant has discussed the use of electrically conductive, non-metallic fibers in contrast to non-conductive fibers having a coating of carbon black as taught by Japan '334.

In regard to the claims accompanying the August 6th response, however, the Examiner has properly noted that the text of claim and status identifiers were incorrect or inconsistent with prior amendments or informal drafts presented. I apologize for the unintended confusion. It is the goal of this supplemental response to correctly and

accurately set forth all of the claims, as intended to be currently amended and with correct identifiers, *based upon the original claims filed June 6, 2000 as amended by claim amendments filed on September 19, 2002, and June 9, 2003.*

The Applicant appreciates the courtesy of the Examiner and believes the claims submitted herewith, along with the accompanying remarks, will place elements of the claimed invention in order for allowance. Consideration of the Applicant's remarks claims is now requested.